

Cook County Tax List

PRESS AND TRIBUNE—Supplement.

W. & E. CO.
CHICAGO.

More Clubs.
Among the many clubs for the Press and
such received within a few days, we may
mention the following for the Tri-Weekly and
editions: To the little town of Altona,
county, 10 copies Tri-Weekly; Champaign
Dailies; Henry, 11 Dailies; Galena, 22 De-
signers; 10 Dailies and 16 Tri-Wee-
kly. The smaller clubs have been too numerous
mention.

Several of the clubs for the Campaign will reach 50 copies and upwards. Keep the rolling. TO THE STAFF.
The Republican Platform.
We have printed in a neat and convenient form for mailing, on fine paper, the NATIONAL REPUBLICAN PLATFORM, which we offer for 10 cents per hundred. It is an excellent document to circulate among the people.
GOOD NEWS.
By the concurrence of the two houses of Congress in the amendments to the bill building a telegraph line to the Pacific,

measure, Mr. Buchanan being willing to become a law, and the Atlantic and Pacific will soon be connected by a bond that will never sever.

CHICAGO PRODUCE TRADE.
The receipts and shipments of Flour
Grain during the past week, compared
with the week previous, will be found as follo-
WEEK. **PREVIOUS.**

	LAST WEEK.	PRESENT.
Flour, bushels	7,000	12,000
Wheat, bushels	91,847	100,000
Corn, bushels	—	80,177
Oats, bushels	—	121,000
Rye, bushels	—	10,000
Buckwheat, bushels	—	500
Bailey, bushels	1,200	700
		4,000

	RECEIVED.	ISSUED.
	1860.	1860.
Paper, white	301,380	18,000
Wheat, 20%	1,867,450	1,175,000
Corn, 20%	6,641,361	3,000,000
Oats, 20%	408,967	20,000
Rye, 20%	30,000	2,000
Barley, 20%	10,000	1,000

During the past week flour has declined to 15s. Wheat has declined 3 1-2 to Corn advanced 2 to 3s, but closed weak. Advanced 1s. Rye and Barley nominal. Mess Fork held firmly at 50s.

CASE OF REV. MR. PATTON

We desire no controversy with Rev. Patton, in an unfriendly spirit. We rejoice his usefulness as a citizen and his a minister, and we regret as keenly as his parishioners the mistake into which has fallen, and which we yesterday felt called to so sharply reprove. His error is of the heart, but of the head, and grows of the proclivity of most men who make a livelihood in the clerical profession, to insist on ceremonial form, usage, often at the expense of nearer and weightier matters—w^o justice, mercy, love. We print his extract herewith, and invite for it the attention of our readers.

It is unsatisfactory to us for the reason
that it reiterates all that was offensive in
the original remarks, though not in the language
in which he at first applied. He claims a
monopoly of Wednesday evening for the u
rayer meetings, and regards an invitation
to attend a public entertainment, on that eve
ning, even for a charitable purpose, as an
insult to the religious community." Prefer
s his own notions about a matter of which he
knows little, to the careful judgment of a
committee of gentlemen; his equals, he is not
inclined that there was sufficient reason for dis
approving the proposed Concert on the evening named,
upon his own crude hypothesis,
takes up an opinion. He berates
Mr. Patton for saying that he objected to the public
entertainment, because his prayer mee
tings on that evening, when he declares
he based his objection on the ground of in
convenience to all the churches. We have
learned that Mr. Patton is Pope or Bishop, or
has authority to speak for or in behalf of
the churches that gracefully yielded to the
express request, and the pastors of which
had notice, and, in some cases, postponed
their religious exercises for Wednesday evening,
in order to give their congregations time to attend
the public service. But he may have some point
of view we know not of. He does not under
stand that non attendance at the Concert need
not be a single member of his Church from
going, nor how their meeting to pray sh
ould interfere with their giving. No, nor do
we understand that Mr. Patton
advises any body to give—
the contrary, before a congregation of
one hundred, not fifty of whom would attend
the lecture-room prayer-meeting, he exhorts
his hearers to stay away, because the
whole community had been invited by
the invitation to a proper entertain'ment,
evening which, if we heed Mr. P.'s words,

en-sacred. And we understand that, trying for a charitable spirit among his hearers, he will not allude in any way to the damage done by the tornado, and after preaching his annual Sermon, which he professedly preached on a charitable endeavor, he was asked if he had not made a mistake in regard to the amount of the mistake he had made, but he seized the opportunity afforded by the closing service to explain or retract his charitable assertions; but suffered the sermon down on his wrath, because Wednesday evening was about to be profaned by a concert for the widows and the fatherless. He never learned that charity to the afflicted is limited to, or even best expressed, in attendance on a concert in which you receive back the full worth of your money, and in acting the prayer meeting to do over the same again, and we should suppose that he had no idea that the method chosen by the trustees to gather contributions from those who had a subscription paper would not reach, and that contributions from those who have already subscribed, is that by which other sums are collected than by the method he has been using.

begging.
not pursue this matter any further. Patton has shown his ground—on which we, we think—one that we are willing to let him occupy—but it is his right; and we have no right to complain. Let us hope, however, even among his own congregation, that there may be some who sympathize with him in his sense of the wrong. As long as they have the example of the Savior before them, and the Savior who did not hesitate to rebuke Sabbath-breakers, we need not fear. The discussion of Wednesday evening, for a necessary charitable purpose, will do much to help the cause of true religion, over the country.

CHICAGO HARBOUR.
A find in the Senate proceedings of
the following:

passing the House joint resolution
"for the amount of one million dollars
appropriation, " for repairing the walls
in order to preserve and ensure the
at Chicago, Illinois; " but the motion
was voted down, May 25.